

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20221
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/850,162	05/08/2001	Tatsuyuki Saito	501.39868X00	9657
ANTONELLI TERRY STOUT AND KRAUS SUITE 1800 1300 NORTH SEVENTEENTH STREET			EXAM	INER
			POMPEY, RON EVERETT	
ARLINGTON, VA 22209			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER

2812 DATE MAILED: 01/17/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		<u> </u>			
	Application No.	plicant(s)			
Coffice Action Commons	09/850,162	SAITO ET AL.			
" Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Ron E Pompey	2812			
The MAILING DATE of this communication apprended for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>12 S</u>					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ Thi	s action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17,37 and 39-57</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17,37 and 39-57</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 11. 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 09/850,162

Art Unit: 2812

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-17, 37 and 39-57 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Uozumi (US 6,261,953) in further view of Omura (US 6,028,362), Berman (US 5,893,756) and Maeda (JP 11-016906).

Uozumi discloses the steps of:

forming a groove for wiring in a first insulating film formed on a semiconductor substrate:

successively forming a barrier layer and a conductive film over said first insulating film including the inside of said groove for wiring and removing said barrier layer and said conductive film form outside of said groove for wiring (2, 3 fig. 3A), thereby forming a wiring;

forming a cap conductive film (4, fig. 3A) on said wiring; and forming a second insulating film over said cap conductive film and said first insulating film (11, fig. 3A) (col. 7, In.23 – col. 8, In. 12).

Omura discloses the limitations the materials that are claimed for the cap conductive layer (60, fig. 15) and forming plug (52s, d, fig. 16) to the semiconductor device (col. 10, lns. 40-62 and col. 13, ln. 65 – col. 14, ln. 61), besides other features of

Application/Control Number: 09/850,162

Art Unit: 2812

the claimed device. Therefore it would have been obvious to combine Omura with Uozumi, because those material are good oxidation preventing materials and conductive plugs provide for electrical contact for between devices.

The examiner takes official notice that the specific cleaning and deposition conditions claimed by applicant are well known in the art of interconnects and therefore add no non-obvious patentable material see Maeda (11b, fig.3) for selective growth of cap layer and Berman (fig. 3) for specific cleaning steps after contaminants formed on insulator.

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-17, 37 and 39-57 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

The applicant argues that the prior art of record does not disclose the selective growth of the cap layer or the cleaning of the insulator after CMP is performed. The examiner took official notice that the deposition processes(including selective deposition) and cleaning conditions(including the cleaning solution) were well known in the art, therefore the examiner added references Maeda and Berman as proof of this.

Conclusion

2. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 09/850,162

Art Unit: 2812

Page 4

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ron E Pompey whose telephone number is (703) 305-3016. The examiner can normally be reached on flex schedule.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Niebling can be reached on (703) 308-3325. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-3432 for regular communications and (703) 305-3432 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Ron Pompely Art Unit: 2812

January 13, 2003

John F. Niebling

Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 2800